

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/799,042	ACHAN, PRADEEP PADMAKSHAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NEAL R. SEREBOFF	3626	

All Participants:

(1) NEAL R. SEREBOFF.

(2) Donald Rex Boys.

Date of Interview: 1 July 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner requested the Applicant to better define the invention. The Examiner felt that the originally filed claims had numerous problems that should be corrected before the first office action was filed.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

//Neal R Sereboff//
Examiner, Art Unit 3626

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)